

1 **MR. HOLLINGSWORTH:** -- internal e-mails are not --

2 **THE COURT:** But --

3 **MR. HOLLINGSWORTH:** -- reliable scientific data.

4 **THE COURT:** But the internal e-mails reflect that
5 Monsanto has been ghostwriting reports. And those reports have
6 been portrayed as independent. And you -- I mean, your whole
7 presentation thus far has been about how all the independent
8 science supports a conclusion that glyphosate doesn't cause
9 non-Hodgkin's lymphoma.

10 So, you know, I don't understand how you could have taken
11 the position that the issue of Monsanto drafting reports for
12 allegedly independent experts on whether glyphosate causes
13 non-Hodgkin's lymphoma could be irrelevant to the question of
14 whether there's evidence that glyphosate causes non-Hodgkin's
15 lymphoma. I just don't understand how you could take that
16 position.

17 **MR. HOLLINGSWORTH:** It's because that -- the reports
18 that you're referring to, I think, are two reports in the
19 literature, Your Honor. They're not -- they are not scientific
20 studies. They're not reports on scientific studies. They're
21 reports known as "surveys"; literature surveys. That -- that's
22 the technical characterization of those reports.

23 Those aren't original science. They aren't the original
24 reports of the 14 animal studies that are at issue here. They
25 aren't the original reports by the epidemiologists who have